Determining planetary boundary layer (PBL) depth via integrated data viewer (IDV) from atmospheric sounding profile data Hassanpreet Kaur Dhaliwal^{1,2}, Drew Camron¹, Shay Carter¹, and Yuan Ho¹ ^{1.} Unidata, University Corporation of Atmospheric Sciences, ^{2.} Atmospheric Science Group, Texas Tech University BACKGROUND DATA VISUALIZATION – INTEGRATED DATA VIEWER (IDV) Planetary Boundary Layer depth: What is it? Why should you care? File Edit View Help 72230 2022-07-19 00:00:00Z Hodograph Table Background: Sounding Chai 867.0 hPa Pressure Free Atmosphere Height 1,295 gpm Geopotential Altitude Troposphere 15.96 degC Temperature 301.15 K Potential Temperature 337.60 K Sat' Equiv' Pot' Temp' Saturation Mixing-Ratio 13.274 g/kg -120-110-100-90 -80 -70 -60 -50 -40 -30 rofile at Background Pressure: 19.85 degC Temperature 15.10 degC Dew-Point mixing Wind Speed Earth m/s Inversion Base Wind Direction degree Horizontal distance, x 329.9 hPa Pressure -27.07 degC Temperature Move Figure 1. Vertical cross-section of (left) temperature profile and (right) 802.6 hPa Pressure qualitative visualization of PBL (adapted from Breedt (2018)) _curso 15.24 degC Temperature the li 969.3 hPa Pressure • Important parameter for weather forecasting and climate models. 22.28 degC know Temperature **PBL** 546 J/kg • PBL depth impacts the surface air pollutant concentration. any h –93 J/kg depth -Boundary Layer: Infamous Salt Lake City pollution 724.96 m Height based on Bulk RiNumber 0.29 • Rising infrastructure and heat in the cities, referred to as urban heat island 08 data: odde.ucor.edu - Skew-T 2022-07-19 00:00:00 TGRD intensity(UHII), are closely related to PBL depth and transport. method Parcel **V** Dry Adiabats Parcel Path Important parameter for designing efficient and sustainable urban form. Wind spa Virtual Temperature Saturation Adiabats Station: 72230 🗸 Stations Mixing Ratio **Consecutive Pro** 2022-07-19 00:00:00Z 📀 📢 🜗 🕪 🕪 🕕 **METHOD & DATASET** Scan for more information on how to view sounding data of **Determining PBL depth** Verification • Temperature gradient method (TGRD): PBL depth = First substantial maximum in the lapse rate of potential temperature (Fig. 1) from the surface (Stull 1988). • The high frequency aircraft measurements by NASA field project on deriving information • Bulk Richardson number method (*Ri*) surface conditions from column and vertically resolved observations relevant to air qual *Ri* : important parameter for diagnosing flow dynamic stability (Stull 1988). For (DISCOVER) – Texas and California (2013) and UCAR COSMIC – 1 level 2 data we analyzed for verification. • Rc = 0.5 Rc = 0.25 Spatial analysis could not be y = 0.64x $y = 0.644^{\circ}$ v (z1) 5000 achieved due to lack of RO . . $v(z_2) - v(z_1)]^2$ soundings coinciding with 4000 DISCOVER data. $g = acceleration d_e$ to gravity, u, v = horizontal and vertical wind component3000 • • • $\bar{\theta}_v$ = average virtual potential temperature between the two levels z_i and z_j • PBL retrieval via critical *Ri* number 2000 . . and TGRD were compared for 1000 PBL depth = Ri first becomes greater than a given threshold (Ri = 0.25 & 0.5) convective boundary layer. • The change in *Ri* (critical) did not Datasets used: UCAR COSMIC-1 and COSMIC-2 Level 2 data, NASA significantly alter the PBL depth for PBL(m) via bulk Richardson method DISCOVER–AQ – TX & CA (2013) P3-B aircraft data. this dataset. Figure 2. Comparison of PBL retrieval via TGRD ar • Detailed information on the implemented Python code (relayed to The PBL height via TGRD is Bulk Richardson Number for NASA DISCOVER Java for IDV) and the datasets can be accessed on the Git repository. aircraft data with critical *Ri* (left) as 0.25 and (righ usually higher than that by critical Ri 0.5 number



finite differences :

$$Ri_{b}(z_{2}) = \frac{g(z_{2} - z_{1})}{\bar{\theta}_{v}} \frac{\theta_{v}(z_{2}) - \theta_{v}}{[u(z_{2}) - u(z_{1})]^{2} + [v]^{2}}$$

(Please scan the barcode for access. Alternatively, vist *github.com/sherrydhaliwal2021/PBL_Retrieval*)











TDV	KEY POINTS
your over he to <i>Ri</i> at	 Integrated Data Viewer is a useful tool for real time retrieval of PBL for the benefit of atmospheric science educators, researchers, aviation, and/or weather forecasters. TGRD method can be used to retrieve PBL from both radiosonde and RO occultation soundings. RO soundings offer a sustainable and cost-effective way to determine ABL top in the future.
eight	
on ality	 Effective temporal and spatial sub-setting of COSMIC data can make RO data more accessible. Refractivity gradient and bending angle can be further explored to identify PBL top from RO soundings (Xie et al.,2012). A point-by-point spatial and temporal correlation of PBL depth should be explored for verification.
ere	
x	REFERENCES Breedt, H. J. (2018). Atmospheric boundary layer stability and its application to computational fluid dynamics (Doctoral dissertation, University of Pretoria). Stull, R. B., 1988: An Introduction to Boundary Layer Meteorology. Kluwer Academic.
6000	Xie, F., Wu, D. L., Ao, C. O., Mannucci, A. J., & Kursinski, E. R. (2012). Advances and limitations of atmospheric boundary layer observations with GPS occultation over southeast Pacific Ocean. Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 12(2), 903-918. Earth Radio Occultation was accessed on 07/15/2022 from
and ant)	https://registry.opendata.aws/gnss-ro-opendata. DISCOVER-AQ Science Team: DISCOVER-AQ P-3B measurements version 1 – second merged csv file, was accessed on 07/02/2022 from https://www- air.larc.nasa.gov/missions/discover-aq/P3B-extract.tx2013.html. UCAR COSMIC Program, 2019: COSMIC-2 Data Products [Data set]. UCAR/NCAR - COSMIC, Access date
	07/02/2022, <u>nttps://doi.org/10.5065/1353-C093</u>

